

Microchemical Systems: New Solutions to Chemical Engineering Problems Through Miniaturization



R.S. Besser, W. Y. Lee, and A. Lawal New Jersey Center for MicroChemical Systems Dept. of Chemical, Biomedical and Materials Engineering Stevens Institute of Technology

June 24, 2004





Outline

- Introduction to Stevens and NJCMCS
- Definition of MCS
- Advantages of MCS
- Major application areas
 - Miniaturization and Intensification
- Examples
 - Extended: Fuel processing for portable power (CPM)
 - Brief: Catalytic hydrogenation for pharaceuticals (CPI)





Stevens Institute of Technology

- Private University founded in 1871
- The Stevens family: First Urban Ferry Business in New York Harbor
- 1700 undergraduates,
 2800 graduates
- Engineering, Science, Technology Management
- Incoming Freshman GPA: 3.8 and SAT 25%-75%: 1200-1400







New Jersey Center for MicroChemical Systems (NJCMCS)

- Official start in September 2002
 - \$7.5M commitments to date
 - \$10.0M pending for state-wide infrastructure



- Vision
 - Leadership for microchemical device/system <u>understanding</u>, <u>design</u> methodology and <u>tools</u> development
- Systems-level concept demowith our key partners
 - Army-Picatinny, Bristol-Myers Squibb, FMC, and Lucent-Bell Labs
 - Portable power, pharmaceutical, and chemical applications





NJCMCS People

- Besser Group
 - Dr. L. Bednarova, S. Ouyang, K. Shah, H. Gadre, W. C. Shin, S. McGovern
- Lawal Group
 - Dr. R. Halder, Dr. D. Qian, J. Adeosun, S. Tadepalli, Y. Voloshin
- Lee Group
 - Dr. Y.-F. Su, J. Meyer, H. Chen, H. Qiu
- Affiliated Faculty
 - Profs. R. Blanks, H. Du, T. Fischer, S. Koven, M. Libera, E. Whittaker
- Consultants
 - Dr. A. Kaufman, Dr. J. Manganaro, F. Shinneman, M. Urken
- Center Administration
 - Prof. Lee (Director) and Prof. Besser and Prof. Lawal (Co-directors)
 - Aqsa Quresh and Pat Downes

Main contributors to the contents of this seminar.





Microchemical Systems



Miniature reaction and other unit operations, possessing **specific advantages** over conventional chemical systems

Distinction from Lab-on-Chip: chemicalproduction vs. analysis





Microreactors—What Are They?

- "Microreactor" traditionally means lab bench reactor
- Dimensions 1/10 of those in bench reactors





(Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH)



(Ehrfeld, et.al., IMM)



(Besser, et.al., IfM)



(Jensen, et.al., MIT)





Benefits of Miniaturization—Why?

- Surface to Volume Ratio
 - Low Transport Resistances
- Low Inventory ("Hold Up")
- Robust Materials
- Cost





Benefits: Surface to Volume





Benefits: Low Transport Resistances

Example: Overall <u>Heat Transfer</u> Coefficient

Нх Туре	U (W/m²K)
Tubular	150-1200
Spiral	700-2500
Plate	1000-4000

Microchannel: <u>3800-6800 W/(m²K)</u>

(Stevens undergrad design project)



(500x500 μ m² x 1.5 cm channels)





Benefits: Low-Inventory (Hold-Up)

AsH₃



Schematic of As⁺ Ion Implanter



Phosgene Reactor, Geismar, LA





Benefits: Robust Materials

- High strength, high melting point materials:
 - Metals
 - Ceramics
 - Silicon
- Array of fabrication processes (MEMS technology)
- Non-traditional reactor materials
 - Polymers







Benefits(?): Cost

- Reactor Fabrication
 - High volume batch
 - Si integrated circuit fabrication model
 - Metal/ceramic micromachining techniques (\$)
 - Interface of reactor to plant (\$?)
- Scale-Up Process
 - Linear process



 Characterize unit module; scale up throughput by addition of modules





Major Application Directions

Chemical Process Miniaturization

- Same functionality per volume as macro
- Miniature size is distinguishing factor
- Portability often important

Example: H₂ generation for small fuel cells

Chemical Process Intensification

- Higher functionality density than macro
- Size reduction is not paramount
- May access new chemistry routes
- Generally leverages

Example: hydrogenation of pharma intermediate.



Fuel Cells: Applications & Power Ranges



Can We Use Microchemical Systems for Portable Power?

- MCS: Superior heat and mass transfer
 Thermal management, excellent mixing
- MCS: Compactness
 - Energy density:
 - Advanced Li-MnO₂ battery: 169 W-h/kg
 - MeOH: 6000 W-h/kg





Model Study: Preferential Oxidation ("PrOx")



CO must be reduced below 10 ppm for viability (PEMFC)





CO Poisons FC Catalyst



(M.Gotz and H. Wendt, *Electrochemica Acta*, **43**, 3847 (1998)).





Goals for PrOx Project

- Construct strong support infrastructure for MCS understanding and design
- Apply this infrastructure to understanding PrOx for portable fuel cells
- Demonstrate a PrOx reactor for a $1\text{-}W_{\rm e}$ fuel processing system





PrOx Design Challenges



<u>Design Criteria</u>
150-200°C and ~1 atm
Minimum volume, ΔP
Conversion, selectivity, stability.



Approach





Microreactor Fabrication

- Photo-patterning process
- High-rate silicon dry etching (DRIE)
- Anodic bonded Pyrex cover
- Batch processing
- 8-in. Si wafers, Bell Labs-NJNC



Institute of Technolog



Thin-Film Wall Catalyst: Why?

- Low pressure drop compared to packed bed
- Less clogging
- Better mass transport than packed bed or washcoat







Catalyst Infiltration







Microreactors Fabricated for PrOx Research Project



8-in. Si wafer, Bell Labs



Long-channel reactor



Short-channel reactor



Short-channel reactor under test



Gathering Process-Relevant



<u>Microkinetic array</u>

Four reactors in parallel

Independent reaction parameters

Shared analytical



Test reactor found to mitigate CO in 0.25 W_e flow with ≈1mg catalyst











CHEMKIN Simulation:

- Solution of kinetic rate eqns. all species
- Eight gas/surface species along channel
- Virtual experiments
- New experiment directions generated







How Does the Reactor Perform?

- What is the conversion behavior?
- What is the selectivity?
- <u>How productive is the reactor?</u>
- What are the transport limitations?
- What is the activation/deactivation behavior?
- What is the catalyst stability?





Conversion Behavior





Comparison: Experiment vs. Simulation







Catalyst Activity Comparison

Révaire	Catulyst System	1 алу ам. це РС)	Pressare (star)	اری ^ن (Torr)	്പ (Tarn)	ייי דמיי)	λ	$\mathrm{IOF}\left(\mathbf{s}^{i}\right)$
Tristona	$\frac{\partial \phi_{ij}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \phi_{ij}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \phi_{ij}}$	100110	Т	24	17.43	400.65	Ŷ	X (03
Se endar al	1977 - 197 1977 - 1975	5277	÷	7.67	33	5-2.01	\$	0.00
There were	ನಿಗ್ಗ ಸ್ವಾಪ್ತಿ ಧ್ವೀತ್ ಸ	1.7	Т	750	280	459.65	2	(0,n)
The work	ನಿ.ಇ ಸ್ಕಾತ್ರಿ ೧೯೯೫-೧	1.02	Т	·n ::	13 D		::	>::::
1 ne water	0.γ.a.j0, ⊛5%	1775	ı.	/ wi	3.30	515 II	n ::•	55.08
Land, ed.		157%	Т	650	6.60	(70.07	2	0.187
have the state	$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{i$	1622	I.	۰.	3.0	.1.11	2	.1.14
Viewa k u	ನಿಗ ದ್ವಾತ್ರಿ ೧೯೫೪	1.52	I.	29	< 41	.1.11	•::/	л. С
a av wate	2017-2010) Chuiste	2014	Т	7.90	/ NI	41461	••	1.761
Test		2015	I.	750	7.00	11°0	••	1000
Kiash, ed.	1999 (A) (1999 (A)	20750	1	490	200	140.02	2	a
33 0 , 200	(1 - 10099) (1 - 10099)	20010	I.	7.90	7,00	730-00	2	3.231
Autolike ma	0. y. a., 0,	4.2	1	7.50	7.60	.1.11	3	.0235
1 newste	0.4 A.O. CHINA	-814	I.		-: :>	:e: e	::	5154
A meral each	3.y.a., 9,	-322	• •	·· 4:	1140		::	5.00
L								
1 no wate	0.17-ж., 0, Сн. 5%		Т	7.90	2.60	4.99.63	::	see.
Test	Styres, Sy The Styr	- 625	I.			л°н	•	1.57
Launder.		2020	Т	650	6.00	: 40.07	2	3107
Tahay dia	200 Segurg (1903)	12,55	I	')	0.00	2	0.100

TOF=molecules produced/active site/sec

≈same activity as others at lower temperature (<150°C)

better activity at higher temperature (>200°C)





Mass Transport Limitation





Conversion Comparison



PASI 2004

Institute of Technology



2-D Finite Difference Model





Temperature Non-Uniformity: Hot Spots







Predicted Conversion Characteristics







Flow Distribution Optimization





(Computational Fluid Dynamics Model)

2-D design for equal flow distribution in channels





Fabricated 1W_e PrOx Reactor

3.1 cm



4 Reactors on 4-in. Wafer

Actual Chip;29 x (450 x 400 μ m²) Channels



Next Step: Component Integration from a System Perspective





Bringing New Drugs Faster and More Safely to the Marketplace



Intensification for Pharma: Catalytic Hydrogenation



20% of all pharma manufacturing processes

Currently: batch reactors, >100 I in size — Continuous flow microreactors

•H₂ at high pressure (safety)
•Highly exothermic-low duty cycle, high heat removal (cost, energy efficiency)
•Residence time several hours
•Selectivity 50%; several purifications
•Residence time minutes
High selectivity through T control



Intensification for Pharma: Catalytic Hydrogenation



<u>Challenges:</u>

Transport Effects in Multiphase flow

Effective Reactants Mixing

Minimization of Pressure Drop

Minimization of Heat and Mass Transfer Resistances

Catalyst Selection/Preparation/Deposition for High Yield and Selectivity

Intrinsic Kinetics Analysis for Microreactor Design

Microreactor Design & Optimization





Conclusions

- MCS/Microreactors possess special properties due to their small dimensions (< 500 μm), large surface-tovolume ratio, and materials options.
- MCS will be used to enable the generation of hydrogen for small fuel cell systems (miniaturization).
- MCS will allow access to novel chemical environments for the production of special chemical products like pharmaceuticals (intensification).





Collaborators and Sponsors

- E. Dada, FMC
- P. Ho, Reaction Design
- D. Ivanov, NJ Institute of Technology
- D. Kientzler, Bristol-Myers Squibb
- S. Pau, Lucent-Bell Labs
- W. Mansfield, Lucent-Bell Labs









